(c) if so, the details of the concessions; and

(d) by when the proposed power plant would be commissioned?

THE MINISTER OF POWER, MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF NON-CONVENTIONAL ENERGY SOURCES (SHRI P.R. KUMARAMANGALAM): (a) to (c) No, Sir. However, the Central Government, under its revised mega power policy announced some time back, has identified a 2000 MW mega power plant at Pipavav to be set up through the private sector. The projects identified under this policy are being given certain concessions which include exemption from customs duty for import of capital equipment, deemed export benefit to the indigenous manufacturers of equipment for these projects and tax benefits. A Power Trading Corporation is proposed to be set up for purchase of power from these mega projects.

(d) Commissioning of the proposed power plant would depend on the various inputs/ clearances being tied up and financial closure being achieved.

Kutir Jyoti Programme

1648. SHRI E. BALANANDAN: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) the name of States which were not given grants under Kutir Jyoti Programme; and

(b) the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF POWER, MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF NON-CONVENTIONAL ENERGY SOURCES (SHRI P.R. KUMARAMANGALAM): (a) and (b) All the States have been provided grants under Kutir Jyoti Programme. A statement giving State-wise position of grant under this Programme is enclosed.

Statement

Provision of Grant Under Kutir Jyoti Programme

Rs. in lac

Sl. No.	States	Amount of Grant Provided Upto March 98	Allocation for 1998-99	Amount of Grant drawn by SEBs/State Govts. During 1998-99 (Upto Dec. 98)
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1498	400	216
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	67	50	0
3.	Assam	169	80	0
4.	Bihar	719	400	262
5.	Goa	2	0	0
6.	Gujarat	237	50	25
7.	Haryana	32	0	0
8.	Himachal Pradesh	75	120	25
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	6	0	0
10.	Karnataka	1812	750	626
11.	Kerala	77	20	11
12.	Madhya Pradesh	2395	400	265
13.	Maharashtra	949	360	275

1	2	3	4	5
14.	Manipur	47	50	0
15.	Meghalaya	34	40	18
16.	Mizoram	69	50	0
17.	Nagaland	35	50	0
18.	Orissa	327	120	0
19.	Punjab	89	50	25
20.	Rajasthan	301	60	24
21.	Sikkim	74	50	20
22.	Tamil Nadu	1586	400	204
23.	Tripura	26	20	15
24.	Uttar Pradesh	583	320	0
25.	West Bengal	433	160	0
	TOTAL	11642	4000	2011

Implementation of Fast Track Power Projects

1649. SHRI GOVINDRAO ADIK: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have recently reviewed the progress made in implementation of fast track power projects;

(b) if so, the details thereof, project-wise;

(c) whether some of the fast track projects are languishing for behind their implementation schedule;

(d) if so, the reasons therefor, project-wise; and

(e) the details of revised action plan worked out to make up for the delays and ensure faster implementation, project-wise?

THE MINISTER OF POWER, MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF NON-CONVENTIONAL ENERGY SOURCES (SHRI P.R. KUMARAMANGALAM): (a) to (e) In 1994 the Government of India identified 8 projects for extending its counter-guarantee. Out of these, counter-guarantee has already been issued to the Jagurupadu Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) (216 MW) of M/s GVK Industries in Andhra Pradesh and Dabhol CCGT (Phase-I) (740 MW) of M/s Enron Power Company in Maharashtra. M/s Spectrum Power Generation Ltd. withdrew their request for counter-guarantee for the Godavari CCGT (208 MW) in Andhra Pradesh. In the case of the Ib Valley Thermal Power Project (Unit 3 & 4) of M/s AES Ib Valley Power Corporation in Orissa, Government of India's counter-guarantee had been accorded to the project. Subsequently, the State Government renegotiated the project parameters with M/s AES Ib Valley Power Corporation and they were allocated Units 5 & 6 (now renamed as Unit A & B) of the Ib Valley Thermal Power Project. Fresh techno-economic clearance was issued by Central Electricity Authority for this project on February 26, 1999 on account of the revised project parameters. The case will now be processed for issuance of Counter-Guarantee.

On 16.5.1998, the Government approved extension of counter-guarantee in the case of the Visakhapatnam Thermal Power Project (1040 MW) of M/S Hinduja National Power Company Limited (HNPCL) in Andhra Pradesh, Bhadravati Thermal Power Project (1082 MW) of M/S Central India Power Company (CIPCO) in Maharashtra and 250 MW single unit lignite based Neyveli Thermal Power Project of M/s ST-CMS Electric Company in Tamil Nadu, through a revised procedure. Counter guarantee has not been issued for the 1000 MW Mangalore Power